

Content analysis rubric

Standard	High	Medium	Low
Accurate	<p>The student used the right type of analysis for the domains reflected in their learning goals (topic for knowledge; procedure for skills; critical incident for expert views)</p> <p>(5 points)</p>	<p>The student made minor mistakes in the type of analysis they used for the domains reflected in their learning goals</p> <p>(3 points)</p>	<p>The student made minor mistakes in the type of analysis they used for the domains reflected in their learning goals</p> <p>(1 point)</p>
Complete	<p>The topics, procedures, and critical incidents are documented to an extent that an observer can create a complete picture of the knowledge, skills, or expert views to be taught</p> <p>(5 points)</p>	<p>The topics, procedures, and critical incidents are documented, but might be missing some details that would allow an observer to create a picture of the knowledge, skills, or expert views to be taught</p> <p>(3 points)</p>	<p>The topics, procedures, and critical incidents are missing important details; an observer cannot create an accurate picture of the knowledge, skills, or expert views to be taught</p> <p>(1 point)</p>
Convincing	<p>The topics, procedures, and critical incidents were analyzed through actual research, interviews, or observations into the knowledge, skills, and expert views to be taught</p> <p>(5 points)</p>	<p>The topics, procedures, and critical incidents were mostly analyzed through actual research, interviews, or observations, but the student filled some gaps with their pre-existing knowledge</p> <p>(3 points)</p>	<p>The topics, procedures, and critical incidents were almost exclusively analyzed through actual research, interviews, or observations</p> <p>(1 point)</p>